Is Climate Change Real? - BILL NYE THE SCIENCE LIE

A couple of weeks ago a segment of "Bill Nye Saves the World" premiered on Netflix. It's worth a little watch, so here's Bill Nye the science guy presenting some science by Bill Nye.

You can read the subtitles of the dance on the video.

All I can say is "Thank God for science, and a 61 year old dressed like Pee Wee Herman, only without the fashion sense, laying down the fat science beats with DJ Sea Horse." "Bill Nye Saves the World" also lectures us on other things beyond sexual orientation. But the one thing that Bill Nye and all of the other sex junk, junk, junk peddling progressives, what they're really lecturing us about is our lack of respect for science.

You see, we conservatives are just plain stupid to understand the nuance complexities of scientific concepts, such as this one (He points to the dancing.). It's beyond our limited comprehension.

Bill Nye also has a YouTube video out describing what would happen if all the ice on the Earth were to melt, and folks, it's not pretty. Is this our future in 20 years? 50 maybe? Well, it turns out that National Geographic's say that this process would take 5000 years, you know, from the birth of Christ to today, then all the way back to the birth of Christ again, and then halfway back again. *They left that part out of the video*.

And given the affect of half of Bill Nye's uninterrupted progressive policies have had on American cities, I'm not sure that places like Detroit, Chicago, and New York are going to be inhabitable in 5 years, let alone in 5000.

Now if you look at this chart from Noah which my primitive non-scientific-guy mind dimly grasps as one of the two or three data sets that all of their conclusions come from, well you can see that this says that sea levels are rising at... My God, what is that rate? Is that feet? Yards? Oh, millimeters. It's 3 millimeters a year. Let's see now, 3 millimeters a year; that means that in 100 years... I wish I had a science guy here to check my numbers... We'll be up to 300 millimeters. Why that's 11.8 inches. That's almost a foot. During the last century, sea levels rose by about an average of 1.8 millimeters per year, that's 7 inches. Now, we had managed to deal with 7 inches of sea level rise using wooden cartwheels and sandbags. The thought of coping with 12 inches in the age of nuclear power, hydraulics, and reinforced concrete at the very beginning of the next century of technological and engineering progress; well, that's clearly climate denial insanity.

And also, I'm a little bit confused about Kansas. Science tells us that during the late Cretaceous period, around 80 million years ago or so, Kansas was directly under the Western Interior Sea Way; it was a vast inland ocean 2000 miles long, 600 miles wide, and 2500 feet deep. And 80 million years ago Kansas wasn't just grass: there were plesiosaurs and masses of fish in the ocean, pterosaurs in the air, and t-rex and triceratops, and flossoraptors all on the balmy shores of Nebraska.

Now here's where I get a little confused. Current CO2 levels are about 400 parts per million, and we are told that if they get to 450 parts per million our children will burst into flames before they drown, but 80 million years ago CO2 levels were about 1,000 parts per million. In fact, during the Cambrian Period, 500 million years ago, CO2 levels were perhaps 7,000 parts per million. So 80 million years ago Kansas was under 2,500 feet of water, but 80,000 years ago Kansas wasn't under a half mile of water, Kansas was under 2 miles of ice, CO2 levels fell to about 180 parts per million, that's probably roughly the all time low.

Now look, I don't want to live under a half a mile of water, and I don't want to try to commute under two miles of ice either. But, I taught astronomy for twenty years and I'd been a technician in a geochronology lab using thermoluminescence to date pottery shards, so while I may not be a climate scientist...and neither is Bill Nye, by the way – he has a degree in mechanical engineering, I at least know what real science looks like.

You see, this video isn't about temperatures; it's about the fundamental integrity of science being weaponized into politics. Real science is based on repeatability of experiments, control testing, and perpetual skepticism, versus appeals to authority and moving political levers by leaving out certain things, like you know, I don't know, maybe 5000 years to melt all of the earth if the temperatures were to go from 57 degrees Fahrenheit, up to about 84 degrees. Telling half of that truth is not science, it's fraud. It's showing New York under water without saying "... in 5000 years", because 5000 years ago, Troy was the city on the coast, not New York, and the ancient city of Babylon was still 800 years in the future.

So, here are a couple of quick questions for you, science guys: Whose factories and auto machines got CO2 to two and half times current levels 80 million years ago? And how did CO2 levels go from a Cambrian high of 7000 parts per million to a quarter nearly low of about 180 parts per million without Bill Nye, Al Gore, Leonardo DiCaprio, and all of the other science guys?



Hey, speaking of Leo, here he is holding a sign saying that "climate change is real." Well, no shit, Sherlock, Al Gore, and the rest of the crowd sold the American people, in fact, the entire world on this. This is a graph of pretty of much stable temperatures, and then a drastic, unprecedented rise correspondent to fossil fuels. This chart that they use goes back 1000 years. Wow, hey, here's a world temperature chart that goes back 11000 years. The little red bar you see is the length of the hockey stick sample that got this ball rolling in the first place.

Temperatures have been in constant flux for the last 11,000 years, and the last 450,000 years, and for the last 4.6 billion years. *There's nothing before that here on Earth*. The blue line here, by the way, is temperatures; the blue line is CO2 levels. Now there's a scientific term for this delta, this rise and fall of temperatures, and that scientific term is called *change*, constant, rhythmic climate change, and nothing but constant, rhythmic climate change going back, not 1,000 years, but 4.6 billion years.

The idea of fighting climate change is not science, it's a non-secular, it doesn't make any sense, the climate's always changing. It's like warning us about, you know, daylight change

because the sun is getting closer to the horizon. That's true, but there's kind of more to the story, isn't there? Now just last night by a very happy coincidence, I heard the super progressive BBC discussing climate change with a climate scientist who said that up until 2012 there had been no reliable data for the amount of trees in what's known as a dry forest habitat. Finally, using satellite imaging, they started to get real data, and then a little over a year ago, they realized that there were more dry forest trees that they had originally assumed back when they started their computer models. So, how much more? 5%? 10%? Well, it turns out that there are 40% more trees in the dry forest than they thought when they made a guess. That's almost half again more green, cheap, free CO2 scrubbers in dry forest environments than originally thought. How big are the earth's dry forests? Well, on this map they are represented in yellow. Almost half again more trees in the yellow areas now that we've actually measured it, rather than just guessed at it.

Science, real science, not Science Guy science, is the process of using any and all data available to destroy a theory. The theories that cannot be experimentally destroyed are probably true. That's science. And not just saying "The atmosphere doesn't match the computer models I have, so the atmosphere must be wrong. That's why we have to have reproducible experiments, control groups, and all the rest.

So maybe we don't need Bill Nye to save the planet, and maybe this four and a half billion year old graph tells us the temperatures do fluctuate constantly, but you'll notice that there's an upper and lower limit going all the way back to the creation of the planet, and, not a run-away spike up into a perpetual molten slag heap, or down to an eternal ball of ice. Maybe life on Earth is here, and remains here because things like cloud cover and vegetation growth are in fact natural and extremely effective feedback mechanisms, which would mean that the Earth has no need of Bill Nye, or progressives, for that matter, to save the planet, because the Earth is built in such a way that it knows how to save itself.

Vocabulary:

let alone Not to mention

dry forest

A type of forest characterized by relatively sparse distributions of pine, juniper, oak, olive, acacia, mesquite, and other drought-resistant species growing in scrub woodland, savanna, or chaparral settings, occurs in the southwestern United States, Mediterranean region, sub-Saharan Africa, and semiarid regions of Mexico, India, and Central and South America.